

Interview Summary

Application No.

10/526,267

Applicant(s)

HUANG ET AL.

Examiner

Marsha M. Tsay

Art Unit

1656

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Marsha M. Tsay.

(3) _____

(2) Daniel Young, PhD.

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 20 July 2009.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☐ Personal (copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant

2) ☐ applicant's representative

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____

Claim(s) discussed: _____

Identification of prior art discussed: _____

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: a telephone call was placed to Applicants' representative to note that the primers labeled as P3 (SEQ ID NO: 60) and P4 (SEQ ID NO: 61) (disclosed in paragraph 4, p. 13 of the specification) are the same as the primers labeled as P1 (SEQ ID NO: 60) and P2 (SEQ ID NO: 61), respectively, (disclosed in paragraph 5, p. 11 of the specification).

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.